RESOLUTION #2017-02-07

OF THE CITY OF LAKE PARK TO SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO OBTAIN FY 2017 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) FUNDS FOR WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS

WHEREAS, the City of Lake Park has identified a lack of sufficient funds to address the need for adequate Water System Improvements; and

WHEREAS, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds are sufficient to address this needs; and

WHEREAS, the City of Lake Park has requested the staff of the Southern Georgia Regional Commission to assist in the preparation and administration of the FY 2017 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) application; and

WHEREAS, the City of Lake Park has agreed to provide sufficient matching funds and in-kind services to complete this project;

THEREFORE, be it resolved that the City of Lake Park will submit a FY 2017 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) application to address the identified needs.

SO RESOLVED this _____ day of February, 2017

Honorable Eric I. Schindler City Clerk Tabatha Fowler

SEAL



| NA | ME OF FIRM: LOVEL (LEA) | Market Street Control of Street |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| FIR | M ADDRESS: | |
| | | |
| Eva crite | luate the Engineer and/or Engineering Firm based on the points assigned to each of the prion. Scoring of RFP's will be as follows: | e following selection |
| | PoorFairGoodExcellent1234 | |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | POINTS ASSIGNED |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms ability to supply all major disciplines necessary to perform the work. | 4 |
|). | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms financial stability. | |
| | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms experience with this type project. | |
| | Does Engineer and/or Engineering Firm carry errors and omissions insurance? | |
| | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms knowledge of community. | Ц |
| | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms ability to meet project schedule. | 4 |
| | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms Staff Expertise. | Company Section 1 |
| | Has Engineer and/or Engineering Firm had experience with Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Projects? | 4 |
| | Did Engineer and/or Engineering Firm provide a list of relevant experience including a statement of competency to perform various tasks called for in the RFP? | |
| | TOTAL SCORE: | 36 |
| ME | OF REVIEWER: TOWN TOUNDATE OF REVIEW: | 1-20-1 |

| | RM ADDRESS: | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| | | , , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| Ev cri | aluate the Engineer and/or E terion. Scoring of RFP's wil | ngineering I be as follo | Firm base ws: | d on the po | ints assigned to eac | h of the following selection |
| | | Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Excellent 4 | |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | | | | | POINTS <u>ASSIGNEL</u> |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Enging to supply all major distortion to perform the work. | neering Fi sciplines n | ms ability ecessary | ý | | 4 |
| 2. | Engineer and/or Engir financial stability. | eering Fir | ms | | | 4 |
| 3, | Engineer and/or Enginexperience with this ty | 4 | | | | |
| 4. | Does Engineer and/or errors and omissions in | Engineerin surance? | g Firm ca | шту | | 4 |
| 5. | Engineer and/or Engine of community. | eering Firn | ns knowle | edge · | | 4 |
| 6. | Engineer and/or Engine meet project schedule. | ering Firn | ıs ability | to | | 3 |
| 7. | Engineer and/or Engine | ering Firn | ıs Staff E | xpertise. | | 4 |
| 8. | Has Engineer and/or En experience with Comm Grant (CDBG) Projects' | mity Deve | Firm had lopment l | Block * | | ¥ |
| 9. | Did Engineer and/or Engalist of relevant experies of competency to perfor for in the RFP? | nce includ | ing a state | ement | | 4 |
| | TOTAL SCORE |); | | | | 35 |

| FI | FIRM ADDRESS: | •• | | | | | | |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 77 | | | | | | | | |
| Ev Cri | Evaluate the Engineer and/or Engineering Firm based on the parterion. Scoring of RFP's will be as follows: | oints assigned to | each of the following selection | | | | | |
| | Poor Fair Good 1 2 3 | Excellent 4 | | | | | | |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | | POINTS ASSIGNED | | | | | |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms ability to supply all major disciplines necessary to perform the work. | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms financial stability. | | Lange | | | | | |
| 3. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms experience with this type project. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms experience with this type project. | | | | | | |
| 4. | Does Engineer and/or Engineering Firm carry errors and omissions insurance? | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms knowledge of community. | | 4 | | | | | |
| 6. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms ability to meet project schedule. | | 3 | | | | | |
| 7. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms Staff Expertise. | | 4 | | | | | |
| 8. | Has Engineer and/or Engineering Firm had experience with Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Projects? | | la- | | | | | |
| 9. | Did Engineer and/or Engineering Firm provide a list of relevant experience including a statement of competency to perform various tasks called for in the RFP? | | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL SCORE; | | 35 | | | | | |



| 0 | WNER: | _ | , |
|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| N | AME OF FIRM: HOW tho | ineer | |
| FI | RM ADDRESS: |) | |
| | | | _ |
| Ev. crii | aluate the Engineer and/or Engineering Firm based on the p terion. Scoring of RFP's will be as follows: | oints assigned to each of the | following selection |
| | Poor Fair Good | Excellent | |
| | 1 2 3 | 4 | |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | | POINTS <u>ASSIG</u> NED |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms ability to supply all major disciplines necessary to perform the work. | | 3 |
| 2. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms financial stability. | | |
| 3. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms experience with this type project. | | |
| 4. | Does Engineer and/or Engineering Firm carry errors and omissions insurance? | | |
| 5. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms knowledge of community. | | |
| 6. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms ability to meet project schedule. | | 4 |
| 7. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms Staff Expertise. | | |
| 8. | Has Engineer and/or Engineering Firm had experience with Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Projects? | | 3 |
| 9. | Did Engineer and/or Engineering Firm provide a list of relevant experience including a statement of competency to perform various tasks called for in the RFP? | | |
| | TOTAL SCORE: | | 34 |
| NAME | of reviewer: Tabatha Fauler | DATE OF REVIEW: _ | 1-20-17 |

| FIRN | M ADDRESS: | | | | | - Augustus | | | |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Evali | uate the Engineer and/or Eng | rineering l | Firm hase | d on the noi | nts assigned to | each of the following selection | | | |
| | rion. Scoring of RFP's will b | | | v. v v.o p v. | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | _ | | | |
| | | Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Excellent 4 | | | | |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | | | | | POINTS <u>ASSIGNED</u> | | | |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Engine to supply all major disc to perform the work. | _ | | у | | | | | |
| 2.f | Engineer and/or Engine financial stability. | eering Fir | ms | t | | 4 | | | |
| 3. | _ | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms experience with this type project. | | | | | | | |
| 4. | | Does Engineer and/or Engineering Firm carry errors and omissions insurance? | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Engineer and/or Engine of community. | ering Fir | ns know | ledge | | <u>4</u> | | | |
| 6. | Engineer and/or Engine meet project schedule. | ering Fin | ns ability | /to | | _4_ | | | |
| 7. | Engineer and/or Engine | ering Firt | ns Staff) | Expertise. | | 4 | | | |
| 8. | Has Engineer and/or Enexperience with Comm Grant (CDBG) Projects | mity Dev | | | | _3 | | | |
| 9. | Did Engineer and/or En a list of relevant experie of competency to perfor for in the RFP? | nce inclu | ding a sta | atement | | _4 | | | |
| | TOTAL SCOR | 3: | | | | 34 | | | |

| | NER: | | | | | , |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| NAI | ME OF FIRM: ///// | | | - | | |
| FIRI | M ADDRESS: | | | | | · |
| Fred | locato the French | | | 7 41 | | |
| crite | luate the Engineer and/or Eng rion. Scoring of RFP's will t | gineering I ve as follov | Trm basec vs: | d on the poi | nts assigned to eac | ch of the following selection |
| | | Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Excellent 4 | |
| | | | | 1 | 4 | POINTS |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | | | | • | <u>ASSIGNED</u> |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Engine to supply all major disc to perform the work. | | | / | | 3 |
| 2. | Engineer and/or Engine financial stability. | ering Fin | ns | | , | |
| 3. | Engineer and/or Engine experience with this typ | | us | | | 4 |
| 4. | Does Engineer and/or E errors and omissions ins | | g Firm ca | ыгу | | 4 |
| 5. | Engineer and/or Engineer of community. | ering Firn | as knowle | edge | | <u> </u> |
| 6. | Engineer and/or Enginee meet project schedule. | ering Firn | ıs ability | to | | |
| 7. | Engineer and/or Enginee | ering Firm | ıs Staff E | xpertise. | | 4 |
| 8. | Has Engineer and/or Eng experience with Commu Grant (CDBG) Projects? | nity Deve | | | | _3 |
| 9. | Did Engineer and/or Eng a list of relevant experien of competency to perform for in the RFP? | ice includ | ing a stat | ement | | Ý |
| | TOTAL SCORE | : 1 | | | | 34 |
| NAME | E OF REVIEWER: | nu S | re(Et | 10 | DATE OF RE | VIEW: 01-20-201 |

QUALIFICATION EVALUATION FORM SELECTION OF ENGINEER

| OWNER: | |
|----------------|---------------|
| NAME OF FIRM:_ | Carter3 Slape |
| FIRM ADDRESS:_ | |

Evaluate the Engineer and/or Engineering Firm based on the points assigned to each of the following selection criterion. Scoring of RFP's will be as follows:


| Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|------|------|------|-----------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

| | | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | | | | | POINTS <u>ASSIGNED</u> |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Engin to supply all major dis to perform the work. | | | / | | _3_ |
| 2. | Engineer and/or Engin financial stability. | eering Fir | ms | | | |
| 3. | Engineer and/or Engin experience with this ty | | | | | |
| 4. | Does Engineer and/or lerrors and omissions in | - | ng Firm c | arry | | <u> </u> |
| 5. | Engineer and/or Engine of community. | eering Fin | ns knowl | edge | | _5 |
| 6. | Engineer and/or Engine meet project schedule. | eering Fin | ns ability | to | | 4 |
| 7. | Engineer and/or Engine | ering Fir | ns Staff E | Expertise. | | |
| 8. | Has Engineer and/or Enexperience with Comm Grant (CDBG) Projects | unity Dev | | | | <u> </u> |
| 9. | Did Engineer and/or En a list of relevant experie of competency to perfo for in the RFP? | nce inclu | ding a sta | tement | | |
| | TOTAL SCOR | E: | | | | 34 |
| | , management of the state of th | مملت | | S. 1 |) e ^{ozz} | 1.00.10 |

NAME OF REVIEWER: 1000 TOUR DATE OF REVIEW: 1-20-17

| OW | NER: | | 1 | 174.7 | | , |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| NA | MB OF FIRM: CANTE | n 9_ | 5100 | ppe | | |
| FIR | M ADDRESS: | | -/ <u></u> | | | |
| | uate the Engineer and/or Engrion. Scoring of RFP's will be | | | ed on the poi | ints assigned to ea | ch of the following selection |
| CINE | TOTE BOOTING OF LOTE S WILL OF | Poor 1 | Fair | Good 3 | Excellent 4 | |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | | | | | POINTS <u>ASSIGNED</u> |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Engine to supply all major discito to perform the work. | _ | | | | _3 |
| 2. | Engineer and/or Engine financial stability. | ering Fir | ms | | | <u> </u> |
| 3. | Engineer and/or Engineer experience with this type | | | | | <u>4</u> |
| 4. | Does Engineer and/or Enerrors and omissions ins | _ | ng Firm o | carry | | 4 |
| 5. | Engineer and/or Enginee of community. | ering Fir | ns know | ledge | | _3 |
| 6. | Engineer and/or Enginee meet project schedule. | ring Fir | ns ability | y to | | 4 |
| 7. | Engineer and/or Enginee | ring Fire | ns Staff) | Expertise. | | _4 |
| 8. | Has Engineer and/or Eng experience with Commun Grant (CDBG) Projects? | | | | | <u>4</u> |
| 9. | Did Engineer and/or Eng a list of relevant experien of competency to perform for in the RFP? | ce inclu | ding a sta | atement | | _4 |
| | TOTAL SCORE | : | — | | | 34 |
| MAN | E OF REVIEWER: fell | 6 | teo | — | DATE OF RI | EVIEW: <u>01-20-17</u> |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Eval | uate the Engineer and/or Engin | eering F | ìrm basea | l on the poi | nts assigned to each o | of the following selection |
| | rion. Scoring of RFP's will be a | | | | | |
| | | Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Excellent 4 | |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | | | | | POINTS <u>ASSIGNED</u> |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Engineer to supply all major discipto to perform the work. | | | | | 3 |
| 2. 7 | Engineer and/or Engineer financial stability. | ing Firn | ns | | | |
| | Engineer and/or Engineer experience with this type | _ | | | 4 | |
| - | Does Engineer and/or Engerrors and omissions insur | | g Firm ca | ırry | | <u> </u> |
| • | Engineer and/or Engineeri | ng Firm | ıs knowle | edge | | 3 |
| | Engineer and/or Engineeri meet project schedule. | ng Firm | s ability | to | | 4 |
| | Engineer and/or Engineeri | ng Firm | s Staff E | xpertise. | | 4 |
| | Has Engineer and/or Enginexperience with Communit Grant (CDBG) Projects? | | | Block | | <u></u> |
| | Did Engineer and/or Engin a list of relevant experience of competency to perform for in the RFP? | e includ: | ing a state | ement | | n 11 |
| | TOTAL SCORE: | | | | | 37 |



| | ME OF FIRM: \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | 7.7 | <u> </u> | | | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| | uate the Engineer and/or Engion. Scoring of RFP's will b | | | d on the poi | nts assigned to | each of the fol | lowing selection |
| | | Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Excellent 4 | | |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | | | | | | POINTS <u>ASSIGNED</u> |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Engine to supply all major disc to perform the work. | _ | | ¥ | | | 3_ |
| 2. | Engineer and/or Engine financial stability. | ering Fin | ms | | | | |
| 3. | Engineer and/or Engine experience with this typ | | | | | | |
| 4. | Does Engineer and/or E errors and omissions in | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 5. | Engineer and/or Engine of community. | ering Fin | ns knowl | ledge | | | |
| 6. | Engineer and/or Engine meet project schedule. | ering Fir | ns ability | to to | | | |
| 7. | Engineer and/or Engine | ering Firr | ns Staff I | Expertise. | | | |
| 8. | Has Engineer and/or En experience with Commu Grant (CDBG) Projects' | mity Dev | | | | | <u> </u> |
| 9. | Did Engineer and/or Enga list of relevant experience of competency to perform for in the RFP? | nce inclu | ding a sta | itement | | | |
| | TOTAL SCORI | 3: | | | | | 34 |
| NAM | e of reviewer. M | arth | | lar | _ DATE O | F REVIEW: _ | 1-20-1 |

| OWNE | :R: | | | | a | . I.A.C | , |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| NAME | of firm: PC S | ino | NTOI | 1\$ HS | sociae. | 5 [1]> | |
| | ADDRESS: | | | | | | |
| Evalua criterio | te the Engineer and/or Eng n. Scoring of RFP's will b | gineering I | Firm base vs: | d on the poi | | each of the follo | wing selection |
| | | Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Excellent 4 | | |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | | | | | | POINTS <u>ASSIGNED</u> |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Engin to supply all major disc to perform the work. | eering Fi ciplines n | rms abilit ecessary | Y | | | _ <u>3</u> |
| 2. | Engineer and/or Engin financial stability. | eering Fi | ms | | | | |
| 3. | Engineer and/or Engin experience with this ty | | | | | | <u> </u> |
| 4. | Does Engineer and/or lerrors and omissions in | Engineeri Isurance? | ng Firm | carry | | | 4 |
| 5. | Engineer and/or Engin of community. | eering Fi | ms know | vledge | | | _3 |
| 6. | Engineer and/or Engin meet project schedule. | eering Fi | ms abilit | y to | | | 4 |
| 7. | Engineer and/or Engin | eering Fi | ms Staff | Expertise. | | | _9 |
| 8. | Has Engineer and/or E experience with Comm Grant (CDBG) Project | unity De | g Firm h velopmer | ad at Block | | | <u>Ч</u> И |
| 9. | Did Engineer and/or Engineer and En | ence incl | ading a s | tatement | | | |
| | TOTAL SCOP | RE: | | | | | 34_ |

NAME OF REVIEWER: Jeh Course DATE OF REVIEW: 0-1-20-17

| OWNE | R: | | | | | ~ ^~ fo | こ アハリ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| NAME | OF FIRM: P.C. S | MON | ITON | · // | -S50 | CA CC | 3, 100 | |
| FIRM A | ADDRESS: | | | | | | | |
| Evalua | te the Engineer and/or Eng n. Scoring of RFP's will b | ineering l | Firm basec | l on the por | ints ass | igned to ea | ch of the follo | wing selection |
| 57 1107 10 | n Booking of 14 1 1 mm | Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | | cellent 4 | | |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | | | | | | | POINTS <u>ASSIGNED</u> Z |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Engine to supply all major disc to perform the work. | | | , | | | | |
| 2. | Engineer and/or Engine | eering Fu | nns | | | | | |
| 3. | Engineer and/or Engine experience with this type | | | | | | | <u>7.</u> |
| 4. | Does Engineer and/or I errors and omissions in | | ng Firm c | arry | | | | 3 |
| 5. | Engineer and/or Engine of community. | | | | | | | <u> </u> |
| 6. | Engineer and/or Engine meet project schedule. | | | | | | | U |
| 7. | Engineer and/or Engine | | | | | | | |
| 8. | Has Engineer and/or Enexperience with Comm Grant (CDBG) Projects | unity De | g Firm ha velopmen | d t Block | | | | U: |
| 9. | Did Engineer and/or Er a list of relevant experie of competency to perfo for in the RFP? | ence inch | uding a st | atement | | | | <u> </u> |
| | TOTAL SCOR | E: | | | | | | 51 |
| NAME | OF REVIEWER: | mi B | u le le | | I | DATE OF | review: 🤇 | 1-20-2017 |

QUALIFICATION EVALUATION FORM SELECTION OF ENGINEER

| OWN NAM | QUALIFICATION EVALUATION FORM SELECTION OF ENGINEER FOR THE CITY OF LAKE PARK 2017 CDBG/ ER: ECF FIRM: G BON TUCKOSCOD | Junipeed) |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| FIRM | ADDRESS: | |
| | ate the Engineer and/or Engineering Firm based on the points assigned to each of the follows: Poor Fair Good Excellent 1 2 3 4 | |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | POINTS <u>ASSIGNED</u> |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms ability to supply all major disciplines necessary to perform the work. | |
| 2. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms financial stability. | |
| 3. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms experience with this type project. | |
| 4. | Does Engineer and/or Engineering Firm carry errors and omissions insurance? | |
| 5. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms knowledge of community. | _3 |
| 6. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms ability to meet project schedule. | |
| 7. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms Staff Expertise. | <u> </u> |
| 8. | Has Engineer and/or Engineering Firm had experience with Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Projects? | <u> </u> |
| 9. | Did Engineer and/or Engineering Firm provide a list of relevant experience including a statement of competency to perform various tasks called for in the RFP? | |
| | TOTAL SCORE: | _33_ |
| NAME | of reviewer: Tabatha Folles date of review: _ | 1-20-17 |

| | ner: me of firm: <i>G Be</i> | 00 1 | | Oceand | | | |
|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| | MADDRESS: | 21 | VIII | pscen | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | uate the Engineer and/or En rion. Scoring of RFP's will l | | | d on the poi | nts assigned to | each of the fo | _ llowing selection |
| | | Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Excellent 4 | | |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | | | | | | POINTS <u>ASSIGNED</u> |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Enginto to supply all major disc to perform the work. | _ | - | | | | _2 |
| 2. | Engineer and/or Engine financial stability. | eering Fin | ns | | | | 4 |
| 3. | Engineer and/or Engine experience with this typ | _ | ns | | | | 4 |
| 4. | Does Engineer and/or E errors and omissions in: | - | g Firm ca | arry | | | 4 |
| 5. | Engineer and/or Engine of community. | ering Firn | ns knowl | edge | | | _3 |
| 6. | Engineer and/or Engine meet project schedule. | ering Firn | ıs ability | to | | | 4 |
| 7. | Engineer and/or Engine | ering Firm | ıs Staff E | xpertise. | | | 4 |
| 8. | Has Engineer and/or Enexperience with Commu Grant (CDBG) Projects? | nity Deve | | | | | _4 |
| 9. | Did Engineer and/or Eng a list of relevant experier of competency to perfor for in the RFP? | ice includ | ing a stat | ement | | | 4 |
| | TOTAL SCORE |): | j | | | | 33 |
| NAME | OF REVIEWER: | | Ic (Wer | 20- | DATE OF | review: <u>c</u> | 1-20-/7 |

| OWN | ER: | | | | | • |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| NAM | e of firm: G | BEN | 7 | URKII | PseeD | |
| FIRM | ADDRESS: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | ate the Engineer and/or Engi on, Scoring of RFP's will be | | | l on the poi | ints assigned to each | of the following selection |
| | | Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Excellent 4 | |
| | · · | <u></u> | |] | <u> </u> | POINTS |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | | | | | <u>ASSIGNED</u> ^ |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Engine to supply all major disci | | | , | | <u> </u> |
| | to perform the work. | 1 | J | | | <u>.</u>) |
| 2. | Engineer and/or Engine financial stability. | ering Firr | ns | | | |
| 3. | Engineer and/or Engine | ering Firr | ns | | | |
| | experience with this typ | | | | | 4 |
| 4. | Does Engineer and/or Engrander Engineer and omissions ins | | g Firm ca | arry | | 2 |
| 5. | Engineer and/or Engineer of community. | ering Firn | as knowl | edge | | |
| 6. | Engineer and/or Engineer meet project schedule. | ering Firn | ns ability | to | | <u> </u> |
| 7. | Engineer and/or Enginee | ering Firm | s Staff E | Expertise. | | <u></u> |
| 8. | Has Engineer and/or Engexperience with Commu Grant (CDBG) Projects? | nity Deve | | | | |
| 9. | Did Engineer and/or Eng a list of relevant experier of competency to perfort for in the RFP? | nce includ | ling a sta | tement | | |
| | TOTAL SCORE | š : | | | | 33 |
| NAME | OF REVIEWER: | 1 53a | | tic | _ DATE OF REV | леw: <u>01-25-2</u> 51 ³ > |

Hofstockler

| | uate the Engineer and/or Eng rion. Scoring of RFP's will b | | | d on the poi | nts assigned to each | of the following selection |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| | | Poor 1 | Fair 2 | Good 3 | Excellent 4 | |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | | | | | POINTS <u>ASSIGNED</u> |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Engine to supply all major disc to perform the work. | _ | | <i>†</i> | | |
| 2. | Engineer and/or Engine financial stability. | ering Fin | ns | | | <u> </u> |
| 3. | Engineer and/or Engine experience with this typ | | | | | |
| 4. | Does Engineer and/or E errors and omissions ins | · - | g Firm c | arry | | been h |
| 5. | Engineer and/or Engine of community. | ering Firr | ns knowl | edge | | |
| 6. | Engineer and/or Enginemeet project schedule. | ering Firn | ns ability | to | | - James |
| 7. | Engineer and/or Engine | ering Fim | as Staff E | Expertise. | | |
| 8. | Has Engineer and/or Engexperience with Commu Grant (CDBG) Projects? | mity Deve | | | | _4_ |
| 9. | Did Engineer and/or Eng a list of relevant experier of competency to perfor for in the RFP? | nce includ | ling a sta | tement | | |
| | TOTAL SCORE | 3: | | | | _30_ |

| NAN | ME OF FIRM: HOFSTADTER & ASSOCIETES | |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| FIRI | M ADDRESS: | |
| | | |
| | uate the Engineer and/or Engineering Firm based on the points assigned to each of th rion. Scoring of RFP's will be as follows: | ne following selection |
| | Poor Fair Good Excellent 1 2 3 4 | |
| | 1 2 3 4 | POINTS |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | <u>ASSIGNED</u> |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms ability to supply all major disciplines necessary to perform the work. | <u> </u> |
| 2. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms financial stability. | |
| 3. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms experience with this type project. | 4 |
| 4. | Does Engineer and/or Engineering Firm carry errors and omissions insurance? | |
| 5. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms knowledge of community. | 3 |
| 6. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms ability to meet project schedule. | _3 |
| 7. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms Staff Expertise. | 4 |
| 8. | Has Engineer and/or Engineering Firm had experience with Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Projects? | 4 |
| 9. | Did Engineer and/or Engineering Firm provide a list of relevant experience including a statement of competency to perform various tasks called for in the RFP? | |
| | TOTAL SCORE: | 30 |

NAME OF REVIEWER

g3- - 1 - 1 - 5

| | M ADDRESS: | | |
|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | 7-3 |
| Eva crit | tluate the Engineer and/or Engineering Firm based on the po erion. Scoring of RFP's will be as follows: | ints assigned to each o | f the following selection |
| | Poor Fair Good | Excellent | |
| | 1 2 3 | 4 | |
| | <u>CRITERION</u> | | POINTS <u>ASSIGNED</u> |
| 1. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms ability to supply all major disciplines necessary to perform the work. | | 3 |
| 2. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms financial stability. | <u></u> | |
| i. | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms experience with this type project. | - Complete of the control of the con | |
| ļ., | Does Engineer and/or Engineering Firm carry errors and omissions insurance? | - Commence of the Commence of | |
| • | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms knowledge of community. | | |
| | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms ability to meet project schedule. | | |
| • | Engineer and/or Engineering Firms Staff Expertise. | | |
| | Has Engineer and/or Engineering Firm had experience with Community Development Block | | U |
| | Grant (CDBG) Projects? Did Engineer and/or Engineering Firm provide a list of relevant experience including a statement of competency to perform various tasks called for in the RFP? | | darre |
| | TOTAL SCORE; | | 30 |

