



Georgia Municipal Court Caseload
Calendar Year 2016
Clearance Rates

MUNICIPALITY	Ordinances			Drugs / Misdemeanors			Traffic			Serious Traffic			Misdemeanors			Felony Bindovers			Clearance Rate
	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	
City of Abbeville	0	0	0.0%	1	1	100.0%	436	418	95.9%	2	3	150.0%	19	13	68.4%	2	2	100.0%	
City of Acworth	175	119	68.0%	102	94	92.2%	7,749	3,862	49.8%	150	166	110.7%	329	334	101.5%	601	689	114.6%	
City of Adairsville	2	2	100.0%	17	16	94.1%	974	376	38.6%	43	48	111.6%	17	13	76.5%	54	67	124.1%	
City of Adel	95	85	89.5%	--	--	--	3,014	1,121	37.2%	86	78	90.7%	120	136	113.3%	55	46	83.6%	
City of Adrian	0	0	0.0%	4	3	75.0%	150	71	47.3%	2	3	150.0%	14	12	85.7%	0	0	0.0%	
City of Alamo	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	65	26	40.0%	9	6	66.7%	6	3	50.0%	2	2	100.0%	
City of Alpharetta	1	1	100.0%	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	100.0%	
City of Albany	2,148	1,546	72.0%	370	108	29.2%	16,959	15,416	90.9%	394	313	79.4%	20,813	14,710	70.7%	3	3	100.0%	
City of Allentown	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
City of Alma	197	145	73.6%	16	11	68.8%	1,128	688	61.0%	29	40	137.9%	14	12	85.7%	210	250	119.0%	
City of Alpharetta	--	270	--	--	60	--	--	13,148	--	--	586	--	--	92	--	889	--	100.0%	
City of Alto	53	43	81.1%	5	7	140.0%	132	69	52.3%	8	6	75.0%	5	3	60.0%	1	2	200.0%	
City of Americus	9,650	438	4.5%	67	31	46.3%	30,527	1,612	5.3%	332	89	26.8%	2,345	80	3.4%	0	0	0.0%	
City of Arabi	--	--	--	2	2	100.0%	286	286	100.0%	2	2	100.0%	2	2	100.0%	--	--	100.0%	
City of Arcadia	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	300	798	266.0%	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	100.0%	
City of Arcadia	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	961	1,187	123.5%	4	5	125.0%	3	2	66.7%	17	17	100.0%	
City of Arlington	27	14	51.9%	2	1	50.0%	202	59	29.2%	11	10	90.9%	9	7	77.8%	9	8	88.9%	
City of Ashburn	107	81	75.7%	58	57	98.3%	6,109	2,370	38.8%	38	32	84.2%	17	18	105.9%	116	125	107.8%	
City of Athens-Clarke County	4,778	2,813	58.9%	136	43	31.6%	16,711	12,200	73.0%	325	176	54.2%	205	--	0.0%	--	641	--	
City of Atlanta	27,802	829	3.0%	3,585	246	6.9%	214,112	220,923	103.2%	3,702	84	2.3%	3,065	95	3.1%	23,250	--	0.0%	
City of Aunstrup	9	9	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	45	49	108.9%	1	1	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	2	2	100.0%	
City of Auburn	71	56	78.9%	--	--	--	1,167	591	50.6%	26	22	84.6%	34	29	85.3%	38	37	97.4%	
City of Augusta	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	100.0%	
City of Austell	120	112	93.3%	39	28	71.8%	3,266	1,428	43.7%	33	32	97.0%	4	4	100.0%	67	76	113.4%	
City of Avondale Estates	83	71	85.5%	0	0	0.0%	2,275	1,733	76.2%	57	52	91.2%	10	11	110.0%	199	211	106.0%	
City of Baconton	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
City of Bannockburn	309	187	60.5%	137	47	34.3%	536	297	55.4%	79	32	40.5%	4,497	3,463	77.0%	--	115	--	
City of Baldwin	467	378	80.9%	2	2	100.0%	2,154	433	20.1%	35	41	117.1%	23	18	78.3%	29	36	124.1%	
City of Ball Ground	6	6	100.0%	2	2	100.0%	618	245	39.6%	13	14	107.7%	19	16	84.2%	8	8	100.0%	
City of Barnesville	251	197	78.5%	52	59	113.5%	1,268	524	41.3%	26	32	123.1%	8	2	25.0%	4	3	75.0%	
City of Barrow	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	66	59	89.4%	0	0	0.0%	1	1	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	
City of Barwick	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	
City of Batesville	4	3	75.0%	44	55	125.0%	668	667	99.9%	46	38	82.6%	145	145	100.0%	61	72	118.0%	
City of Berkeley Lake	15	18	120.0%	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
City of Berlin	3	3	100.0%	4	3	75.0%	619	196	31.7%	4	3	75.0%	3	2	66.7%	6	4	66.7%	
City of Blackshear	45	45	100.0%	91	64	70.3%	795	541	68.1%	203	126	62.1%	400	364	91.0%	523	523	100.0%	
City of Blakely	313	177	56.5%	4	3	75.0%	322	118	36.6%	40	36	90.0%	16	15	93.8%	12	12	100.0%	
City of Blacky	73	39	53.4%	15	17	113.3%	400	133	33.3%	17	16	94.1%	9	4	44.4%	0	0	0.0%	
City of Bloomingdale	0	0	0.0%	9	13	144.4%	5,114	5,268	103.0%	107	76	71.0%	204	180	88.2%	451	441	97.8%	
City of Blue Ridge	128	100	78.1%	13	9	69.2%	622	166	26.7%	53	48	90.6%	72	52	72.2%	45	49	108.9%	
City of Bryche	4	5	125.0%	59	42	71.2%	656	374	57.0%	9	10	111.1%	0	0	0.0%	19	19	100.0%	
City of Boston	--	--	--	--	--	--	124	--	0.0%	17	--	0.0%	1	--	0.0%	--	--	--	
City of Bowdon	84	55	65.5%	28	33	117.9%	983	598	60.8%	45	45	100.0%	10	9	90.0%	42	46	110.5%	
City of Braselton	57	49	86.0%	114	95	83.3%	3,308	1,757	53.1%	93	90	96.8%	75	66	88.0%	2	2	100.0%	
City of Braswell	15	5	33.3%	0	0	0.0%	12	2	16.7%	0	0	0.0%	6	3	50.0%	0	0	0.0%	
City of Bremen	56	28	50.0%	23	22	95.7%	1,273	356	28.0%	18	24	133.3%	105	85	81.0%	95	97	102.1%	



Georgia Municipal Court Caseload
Calendar Year 2016
Clearance Rates

MUNICIPALITY	Ordinances			Dues / Fines			Traffic			Serious Traffic			Misdemeanors			Felony Bindovers			
	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	
City of Brookhaven	424	393	92.7%	3	4	133.3%	3,895	3,642	93.5%	866	709	81.9%	720	613	85.1%	--	310	310	100.0%
City of Brooklet	3	2	66.7%	0	0	0.0%	676	316	46.7%	14	14	100.0%	7	4	57.1%	5	5	100.0%	
City of Broxton	23	14	60.9%	20	14	70.0%	609	544	89.6%	17	11	64.7%	12	11	91.7%	11	11	100.0%	
City of Brunswick	241	212	88.0%	54	54	100.0%	2,951	1,559	52.8%	77	76	98.7%	51	38	74.5%	1	1	100.0%	
City of Buchanan	25	21	84.0%	11	10	90.9%	758	308	40.6%	14	16	114.3%	11	10	90.9%	12	15	125.0%	
City of Buena Vista	29	--	0.0%	1	--	0.0%	429	--	0.0%	14	--	0.0%	11	--	0.0%	--	--	0.0%	
City of Butler	224	206	92.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	
City of Butler	0	0	0.0%	4	6	150.0%	1,187	1,211	102.0%	19	15	78.9%	32	30	93.8%	24	26	108.3%	
City of Byron	106	69	65.1%	141	103	73.0%	6,343	1,493	23.5%	115	88	76.5%	199	166	83.4%	34	33	97.1%	
City of Byron	192	115	59.9%	5	5	100.0%	1,211	503	41.5%	81	86	106.2%	199	166	83.4%	7	7	100.0%	
City of Calhoun	95	52	54.7%	38	41	107.9%	4,160	1,609	38.7%	69	53	76.8%	214	205	95.8%	128	128	100.0%	
City of Camilla	0	0	0.0%	33	30	90.9%	1,762	1,613	91.5%	39	36	92.3%	289	282	97.6%	59	59	100.0%	
City of Canon	2	2	100.0%	--	--	--	130	130	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	--	--	--	--	--	0.0%	
City of Canton	0	0	0.0%	70	64	91.4%	3,621	3,598	99.4%	98	71	72.4%	354	307	86.7%	299	310	103.7%	
City of Carl	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
City of Camersville	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
City of Carrollton	938	664	70.8%	242	244	100.8%	8,140	3,909	48.0%	352	426	121.0%	199	188	94.5%	410	397	96.8%	
City of Cartersville	191	145	75.9%	57	67	117.5%	2,230	838	37.6%	63	51	81.0%	12	18	150.0%	81	99	122.2%	
City of Cave Spring	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	134	134	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	
City of Cedartown	163	104	63.8%	3	3	100.0%	1,372	464	33.8%	49	46	93.9%	137	115	83.9%	109	97	89.0%	
City of Centerville	280	230	82.1%	14	12	85.7%	2,460	946	38.5%	35	33	94.3%	63	73	115.9%	68	--	0.0%	
City of Chamblee	3,350	1,752	52.3%	144	52	36.1%	10,842	7,439	68.6%	417	301	72.2%	336	131	39.0%	312	5	1.6%	
City of Chattahoochee Hills	174	44	25.3%	75	82	109.3%	1,047	457	43.6%	18	15	83.3%	99	73	73.7%	5	5	100.0%	
City of Chickamauga	0	0	0.0%	4	0	0.0%	66	66	100.0%	1	0	0.0%	14	14	100.0%	103	124	120.4%	
City of Chickamauga	44	31	70.5%	4	3	75.0%	516	173	33.5%	5	5	100.0%	18	14	77.8%	15	15	100.0%	
City of Clarksville	53	54	101.9%	16	19	118.8%	430	273	63.5%	16	17	106.3%	18	13	72.2%	1	1	100.0%	
City of Clarkston	405	289	71.4%	56	30	53.6%	3,898	2,514	64.5%	23	9	39.1%	405	254	62.7%	--	--	0.0%	
City of Clayton	25	4	16.0%	8	0	0.0%	373	5	1.3%	55	3	5.5%	6	0	0.0%	12	0	0.0%	
City of Clayton	4	4	100.0%	63	48	76.2%	1,759	1,382	78.0%	281	200	71.2%	76	57	75.0%	84	--	0.0%	
City of Cleveland	1	1	100.0%	3	2	66.7%	1,238	1,035	83.6%	20	24	120.0%	48	52	108.3%	47	51	108.5%	
City of Climax	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
City of Cobbtown	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
City of Cochran	209	163	78.0%	29	27	93.1%	1,129	333	29.5%	15	19	126.7%	26	29	111.5%	35	37	105.7%	
City of Cochran	--	--	--	19	19	100.0%	362	362	100.0%	--	--	--	3	3	100.0%	2	2	100.0%	
City of Colhura	3,748	3,800	101.4%	0	0	0.0%	6,134	7,458	121.6%	835	803	96.2%	1,813	563	31.1%	0	0	0.0%	
City of College Park	5	5	100.0%	3	--	0.0%	197	171	86.8%	7	7	100.0%	--	--	--	--	--	0.0%	
City of Collins	20	19	95.0%	19	22	115.8%	764	165	21.6%	29	25	86.2%	35	37	105.7%	18	19	105.6%	
City of Colquhoun	--	1	4.5%	20	10	50.0%	182	196	107.7%	86	83	96.5%	317	271	85.3%	756	790	104.5%	
City of Columbus (Muscooge Recorder)**	5,886	6,378	108.4%	196	190	96.9%	22,714	23,430	103.2%	3,824	3,877	101.4%	1,080	1,058	98.0%	17,444	17,417	99.8%	
City of Comer	0	0	0.0%	23	27	117.4%	564	672	119.1%	267	320	119.9%	0	0	0.0%	37	48	129.7%	
City of Commerce	46	40	87.0%	0	0	0.0%	1,943	1,672	86.1%	0	0	0.0%	41	37	90.2%	0	0	0.0%	
City of Concord	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	
City of Conyers	391	392	100.3%	173	245	141.6%	4,181	4,032	96.3%	147	163	110.9%	115	154	133.9%	--	142	142.0%	
City of Coosada	0	0	0.0%	0	1	100.0%	310	317	102.3%	1	1	100.0%	7	6	85.7%	0	0	0.0%	
City of Cordale	151	97	64.2%	35	28	80.0%	1,013	370	36.5%	67	63	94.0%	172	140	81.4%	2	1	50.0%	



Georgia Municipal Court Caseload
Calendar Year 2016
Clearance Rates

MUNICIPALITY	Ordinances		Drugs / Marijuana		Traffic		Serious Traffic		Misdemeanors		Felony Indictors		Clearance Rate					
	Filed	Disposed	Filed	Disposed	Filed	Disposed	Filed	Disposed	Filed	Disposed	Filed	Disposed						
City of Cornelia	8	15	187.5%	4	2	50.0%	835	776	92.9%	23	25	108.7%	212	209	98.6%	10	19	190.0%
City of Covington	418	379	90.7%	197	219	111.2%	3,360	1,820	54.2%	187	161	86.1%	231	295	127.7%	40	48	120.0%
City of Crawfordville	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Culloden	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Cumming	63	36	57.1%	6	3	50.0%	1,928	1,500	77.8%	35	13	37.1%	81	31	38.3%	83	83	100.0%
City of Cusseta	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Goulbert	82	--	0.0%	--	--	--	301	301	100.0%	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Dacula	105	74	70.5%	0	0	0.0%	50	24	48.0%	1	1	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	3	3	100.0%
City of Dalton	94	31	33.0%	38	25	65.8%	730	122	16.7%	78	78	100.0%	108	77	71.3%	102	127	124.5%
City of Dalton	83	67	80.7%	36	21	58.3%	1,586	577	36.4%	66	81	122.7%	9	8	88.9%	152	182	119.7%
City of Dalton	224	156	69.6%	243	174	71.6%	6,675	2,475	37.1%	232	218	94.0%	329	269	81.8%	165	179	108.5%
City of Danvers	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Danville	98	88	89.8%	0	0	0.0%	753	688	91.4%	3	2	66.7%	11	3	27.3%	0	2	--
City of Darlington	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Darlington	0	0	0.0%	102	92	90.2%	9,716	9,180	94.5%	85	59	69.4%	176	187	106.3%	353	353	100.0%
City of Darlington	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Dawson	5	1	20.0%	3	2	66.7%	104	20	19.2%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Dawson	0	0	0.0%	16	10	62.5%	2,306	2,142	92.9%	28	23	82.1%	250	247	98.8%	15	13	86.7%
City of Decatur	536	522	97.4%	38	34	89.5%	3,857	1,532	39.7%	61	72	118.0%	19	28	147.4%	397	497	125.2%
City of Decatur	28	11	39.3%	18	8	44.4%	392	157	40.1%	10	8	80.0%	10	7	70.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Dillard	0	0	0.0%	8	23	287.5%	836	1,228	145.7%	10	11	110.0%	288	402	139.6%	15	15	100.0%
City of Dothan	11	11	100.0%	4	4	100.0%	122	122	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Dothan	33	24	72.7%	18	12	66.7%	295	232	78.6%	25	16	64.0%	76	65	85.5%	6	7	116.7%
City of Doraville	1,605	1,072	64.4%	59	46	78.0%	10,227	7,862	77.0%	1,424	833	58.5%	228	147	64.5%	438	--	--
City of Douglas	147	114	77.6%	42	29	69.0%	6,023	1,388	23.0%	89	67	75.3%	129	110	85.3%	0	0	0.0%
City of Douglas	2,868	2,649	92.4%	250	193	77.2%	5,851	2,377	40.6%	164	177	107.9%	299	343	114.7%	119	126	105.9%
City of Dublin	96	144	150.0%	48	47	97.9%	4,281	2,784	65.0%	130	235	180.8%	367	599	163.2%	1,292	1,325	102.6%
City of Dublin	187	161	86.1%	90	124	137.8%	8,538	5,645	65.9%	161	214	132.9%	178	159	89.3%	371	399	107.5%
City of Dunwoody	1,334	723	54.2%	120	83	69.2%	6,768	6,272	92.7%	--	--	--	--	46	--	--	506	--
City of East Dublin	4	2	50.0%	55	11	20.0%	1,248	831	66.6%	83	30	36.1%	193	2	1.0%	5	2	40.0%
City of East Point	1,952	1,385	70.9%	22	17	77.3%	6,768	5,418	80.1%	113	107	94.7%	432	376	87.0%	1,622	1,744	107.5%
City of East Point	11	5	45.5%	29	19	65.5%	1,888	466	24.7%	29	20	69.0%	167	94	56.3%	29	36	124.1%
City of Eastman	69	51	73.9%	9	9	100.0%	1,727	592	34.3%	63	67	106.3%	11	7	63.6%	116	113	97.4%
City of Eastman	28	13	46.4%	2	1	50.0%	173	137	79.2%	12	9	75.0%	20	10	50.0%	--	--	--
City of Edison	86	82	95.3%	51	19	37.3%	879	879	100.0%	93	92	98.9%	180	0	0.0%	213	0	0.0%
City of Elberton	47	22	46.8%	2	1	50.0%	223	39	17.5%	13	13	100.0%	5	6	120.0%	5	4	80.0%
City of Ellijah	183	76	41.5%	15	10	66.7%	627	159	25.4%	36	24	66.7%	21	14	66.7%	20	37	185.0%
City of Emerson	81	96	118.3%	8	8	100.0%	1,096	1,234	112.6%	58	49	84.5%	46	9	19.6%	5	5	100.0%
City of Enigma	5	1	20.0%	0	0	0.0%	438	79	18.0%	6	4	66.7%	41	10	24.4%	9	9	100.0%
City of Ephesus	0	0	0.0%	2	2	100.0%	7	7	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Ephesus	0	0	0.0%	1	1	100.0%	433	410	94.7%	29	22	75.9%	39	34	87.2%	12	10	83.3%
City of Etowah	88	55	62.5%	18	19	105.6%	786	282	35.9%	20	20	100.0%	4	3	75.0%	31	33	106.5%
City of Etowah	203	251	85.7%	43	36	83.7%	2,543	1,580	62.1%	93	104	111.8%	230	236	102.6%	114	143	125.4%
City of Fayetteville	213	204	95.8%	2	2	100.0%	578	526	91.0%	8	5	62.5%	16	19	118.8%	7	7	100.0%
City of Fayetteville	165	118	71.5%	161	135	83.9%	6,669	3,362	50.4%	286	198	69.2%	205	182	88.8%	188	219	116.5%
City of Fitzgerald	70	63	90.0%	17	15	88.2%	856	733	85.6%	25	23	92.0%	25	25	100.0%	22	22	100.0%



Georgia Municipal Court Caseload
Calendar Year 2016
Clearance Rates

MUNICIPALITY	Ordinances			Dregs / Marijuana			Traffic			Serious Traffic			Misdemeanors			Felony Bandwidths		
	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate
City of Flowery Branch	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	30	31	100.3%	2	1	50.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Flowery Branch	58	42	72.4%	67	45	67.2%	2,575	2,170	84.3%	50	61	122.0%	42	41	97.6%	27	34	125.9%
City of Folkston	33	34	103.0%	46	25	54.3%	793	848	106.9%	22	11	50.0%	13	15	115.4%	9	0	0.0%
City of Forest Park	989	852	86.1%	167	148	88.6%	13,992	12,690	90.7%	665	582	87.5%	6	7	116.7%	15	14	93.3%
City of Forest Park	71	72	101.4%	42	39	92.9%	2,314	762	32.9%	41	29	70.7%	36	34	94.4%	2	3	150.0%
City of Fort Gaines	0	0	0.0%	6	8	133.3%	284	282	99.3%	4	6	150.0%	10	11	110.0%	1	1	100.0%
City of Fort Oglethorpe	200	178	89.0%	36	15	41.7%	4,183	3,659	87.5%	226	115	50.9%	164	80	48.8%	0	74	100.0%
City of Fort Valley	89	79	88.8%	21	18	85.7%	548	431	78.6%	185	140	75.7%	95	71	74.7%	0	0	0.0%
City of Franklin Springs	51	19	37.3%	22	15	68.2%	346	217	62.7%	159	91	57.2%	31	23	74.2%	49	--	--
City of Franklin Springs	0	0	0.0%	2	2	100.0%	277	271	97.8%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	2	2	100.0%
City of Gainesville	281	217	77.2%	76	62	81.6%	10,980	4,381	39.9%	332	352	106.0%	125	124	99.2%	1,438	1,739	120.9%
City of Garden City	4,198	113	2.7%	3,541	6	0.2%	106,456	2,873	2.7%	6,047	17	0.3%	9,974	9	0.1%	2,897	0	0.0%
City of Garfield	1	--	0.0%	--	--	--	9	--	0.0%	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Georgetown	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Gibson	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Glenwood	18	11	61.1%	--	--	--	620	277	44.7%	22	17	77.3%	58	47	81.0%	3	3	100.0%
City of Glenwood	5	5	100.0%	--	--	--	2	2	100.0%	7	7	100.0%	11	11	100.0%	--	--	--
City of Gordon	2	2	100.0%	5	5	100.0%	38	34	89.5%	2	2	100.0%	18	1	5.6%	--	--	--
City of Graham	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Gray	54	50	92.6%	4	7	175.0%	2,326	1,154	49.6%	32	32	100.0%	10	10	100.0%	32	34	106.3%
City of Greenboro	6	6	100.0%	59	12	20.3%	1,572	1,430	91.0%	119	54	45.4%	59	16	27.1%	18	--	--
City of Greenville	56	45	80.4%	11	11	100.0%	557	384	68.9%	50	74	148.0%	35	38	108.6%	1	1	100.0%
City of Greenville	0	0	0.0%	4	7	175.0%	1,375	1,241	90.3%	7	11	157.1%	16	35	218.8%	3	2	66.7%
City of Griffin	730	478	65.5%	108	73	67.6%	5,923	4,891	82.6%	735	395	53.7%	201	248	123.4%	14	--	--
City of Greenwood	106	104	98.1%	71	66	93.0%	848	588	69.3%	70	61	87.1%	5	5	100.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Guyton	0	0	0.0%	9	9	100.0%	329	245	74.5%	5	1	20.0%	7	1	14.3%	0	0	0.0%
City of Hagen	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	193	190	98.4%	4	3	75.0%	11	6	54.5%	15	8	53.3%
City of Hahira	6	5	83.3%	25	18	72.0%	2,350	632	26.9%	5	8	160.0%	26	24	92.3%	10	12	120.0%
City of Hamilton	0	0	0.0%	2	2	100.0%	216	221	102.3%	8	7	87.5%	1	1	100.0%	13	13	100.0%
City of Hampton	222	171	77.0%	0	0	0.0%	1,127	380	33.7%	74	76	102.7%	0	0	0.0%	42	41	97.6%
City of Hapeville	608	518	85.2%	5	3	60.0%	1,896	1,131	59.7%	26	25	96.2%	276	217	78.6%	31	32	103.2%
City of Hartman	37	37	100.0%	28	28	100.0%	363	330	90.9%	18	18	100.0%	6	6	100.0%	6	6	100.0%
City of Harrison	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Harwell	16	13	81.3%	0	0	0.0%	1,133	947	83.6%	0	0	0.0%	165	142	86.1%	0	0	0.0%
City of Hawthornville	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Hazlehurst	58	42	72.4%	11	10	90.9%	422	317	75.1%	24	25	104.2%	33	25	75.8%	5	3	60.0%
City of Helen	279	271	97.1%	11	10	90.9%	559	552	98.7%	36	25	69.4%	3	3	100.0%	17	17	100.0%
City of Helena	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Hephzibah	33	32	97.0%	16	15	93.8%	266	243	91.4%	8	7	87.5%	6	6	100.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Hiram	27	15	55.6%	35	29	82.9%	427	250	58.5%	27	21	77.8%	12	10	83.2%	0	0	0.0%
City of Hixson	2	2	100.0%	3	3	100.0%	547	498	91.0%	58	47	81.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Hithonia	1,050	1,029	98.0%	162	148	91.4%	8,138	8,043	98.8%	1,067	1,040	97.4%	465	453	97.4%	215	--	--
City of Hinesville	43	37	86.0%	28	25	89.3%	4,842	1,447	29.9%	96	91	94.8%	134	132	98.5%	261	251	96.2%
City of Hiram	5	0	0.0%	6	1	16.7%	293	257	87.7%	17	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	4	4	100.0%
City of Hogansville	188	135	71.8%	0	0	0.0%	2,230	958	43.0%	50	31	62.0%	67	45	67.2%	58	57	98.3%
City of Holly Springs	16	12	75.0%	28	14	50.0%	2,267	1,685	74.3%	359	237	66.0%	2,369	1,750	73.9%	127	106	83.5%
City of Homeland	2	1	50.0%	4	4	100.0%	514	432	84.0%	4	6	150.0%	3	1	33.3%	2	--	--



Georgia Municipal Court Caseload
Calendar Year 2016
Clearance Rates

MUNICIPALITY	Ordinances			Drugs / Marijuana			Traffic			Serious Traffic			Misdemeanors			Felony Bindovers		
	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate
City of Marietta	2,961	2,839	95.9%	359	310	86.4%	13,567	14,338	105.2%	1,215	1,178	97.0%	259	319	123.2%	0	0	0.0%
City of Marietta	4	4	100.0%	2	0	0.0%	413	393	95.2%	3	3	100.0%	4	3	75.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Marietta	0	0	0.0%	1	2	200.0%	128	129	100.8%	0	0	0.0%	58	54	93.1%	2	2	100.0%
City of Marietta	45	48	106.7%	--	--	--	170	143	84.1%	12	11	91.7%	12	8	66.7%	3	3	100.0%
City of McDonough	531	1,313	247.3%	117	89	76.1%	6,577	2,445	37.2%	170	131	77.1%	98	90	91.8%	83	95	114.3%
City of Milledgeville	--	--	--	20	17	85.0%	365	357	97.5%	22	20	90.9%	9	7	77.8%	--	--	--
City of Milledgeville	24	6	25.0%	51	27	52.9%	877	305	34.8%	79	51	64.6%	140	52	37.1%	50	50	100.0%
City of Milledgeville	--	--	--	2	1	50.0%	100	74	74.0%	3	1	33.3%	5	4	80.0%	--	--	--
City of Milledgeville	13	13	100.0%	14	13	92.9%	617	570	92.4%	24	24	100.0%	25	24	96.0%	9	9	100.0%
City of Milledgeville	--	--	--	--	--	--	31	29	93.5%	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Milledgeville	27	27	100.0%	3	3	100.0%	538	544	101.1%	12	13	108.3%	50	46	92.0%	6	7	116.7%
City of Milledgeville	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	1	100.0%	--	--	--	268	263	98.1%	130	148	113.8%
City of Milledgeville	94	73	77.7%	65	64	98.5%	2,967	1,098	37.0%	160	182	113.8%	20	15	75.0%	17	0	0.0%
City of Milledgeville	227	223	98.2%	16	18	112.5%	526	468	89.0%	20	16	80.0%	20	15	75.0%	4	6	150.0%
City of Milledgeville	0	0	0.0%	11	8	72.7%	419	431	102.9%	4	4	100.0%	3	3	100.0%	4	4	100.0%
City of Milledgeville	73	65	89.0%	62	60	96.8%	3,694	2,174	58.9%	92	111	120.7%	64	59	92.2%	213	236	110.8%
City of Milledgeville	6	6	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	98	95	96.9%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	5	0	0.0%
City of Milledgeville	396	310	78.3%	69	80	115.9%	1,291	634	49.1%	103	121	117.5%	67	93	138.8%	76	79	103.9%
City of Milledgeville	44	15	34.1%	57	40	70.2%	865	593	68.6%	52	40	76.9%	33	16	48.2%	5	--	--
City of Milledgeville	268	193	72.0%	39	28	71.8%	3,329	1,924	57.8%	64	63	98.4%	146	111	76.0%	164	229	139.6%
City of Milledgeville	0	0	0.0%	57	46	80.7%	742	247	33.3%	25	24	96.0%	33	25	75.8%	1	1	100.0%
City of Milledgeville	318	266	83.6%	1	1	100.0%	2,388	873	36.6%	131	118	90.1%	250	209	83.6%	0	0	0.0%
City of Milledgeville	3	2	66.7%	0	0	0.0%	25	4	16.0%	0	0	0.0%	1	2	200.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Milledgeville	0	0	0.0%	19	19	100.0%	125	122	97.6%	16	8	50.0%	36	33	91.7%	13	0	0.0%
City of Milledgeville	0	0	0.0%	4	3	75.0%	153	81	52.9%	8	7	87.5%	3	2	66.7%	13	13	100.0%
City of Milledgeville	--	--	--	16	16	100.0%	1,570	1,511	96.2%	--	--	--	1,570	1,511	96.2%	--	--	--
City of Mountain City	3	3	100.0%	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	1	100.0%	--	--	--	--
City of Mountain City	10	10	100.0%	3	3	100.0%	337	337	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	350	350	100.0%	2	2	100.0%
City of Mountain City	213	199	93.4%	23	27	117.4%	1,207	1,215	100.7%	91	98	107.7%	39	46	117.9%	132	154	116.7%
City of Mountain City	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	7	15	214.3%	3	3	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Mountain City	--	--	--	--	--	--	151	117	77.5%	2	1	50.0%	43	24	55.8%	--	--	--
City of Mountain City	1,053	857	81.4%	116	110	94.8%	4,367	2,813	64.4%	127	123	96.9%	77	76	98.7%	178	207	116.3%
City of Mountain City	16	13	81.3%	--	--	--	51	43	84.3%	1	1	100.0%	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Mountain City	0	0	0.0%	18	18	100.0%	457	442	96.7%	5	5	100.0%	38	36	94.7%	2	2	100.0%
City of Norcross	619	319	51.5%	160	152	95.0%	7,385	4,050	54.8%	100	85	85.0%	14	8	57.1%	309	305	98.7%
City of Norcross	125	109	87.2%	5	5	100.0%	839	966	115.1%	6	5	83.3%	4	3	75.0%	--	11	--
City of Norcross	3	3	100.0%	6	8	133.3%	844	733	86.8%	2	3	150.0%	8	9	112.5%	7	8	114.3%
City of Norcross	110	79	71.8%	42	31	73.8%	5,691	5,125	90.1%	48	41	85.4%	5,892	5,125	87.0%	224	224	100.0%
City of Norcross	0	0	0.0%	8	4	50.0%	671	716	106.7%	9	9	100.0%	56	46	82.1%	22	26	118.2%
City of Norcross	2	2	100.0%	7	7	100.0%	208	195	93.8%	10	12	120.0%	37	35	94.6%	2	3	150.0%
City of Norcross	--	--	--	--	--	--	423	455	107.6%	--	3	3	100.0%	--	--	--	--	--
City of Norcross	40	17	42.5%	0	0	0.0%	1,477	455	30.8%	6	6	100.0%	6	6	100.0%	3	6	200.0%
City of Norcross	40	39	97.5%	6	8	133.3%	693	442	63.8%	8	9	112.5%	7	8	114.3%	1	1	100.0%
City of Norcross	102	91	89.2%	0	2	200.0%	207	207	100.0%	7	4	57.1%	4	3	75.0%	20	20	100.0%
City of Norcross	5	4	80.0%	1	0	0.0%	34	36	105.9%	0	0	0.0%	2	1	50.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Norcross	0	0	0.0%	1	1	100.0%	35	35	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	1	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%



Georgia Municipal Court Caseload
Calendar Year 2016
Clearance Rates

MUNICIPALITY	Ordinances		Drugs / Marijuana		Traffic		Serious Traffic		Misdemeanors		Felony Indictors							
	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate						
City of Homerville	30	26	86.7%	19	23	121.1%	1,980	1,066	53.8%	25	20	80.0%	99	89	89.9%	45	44	97.8%
City of Heshon	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	70	49	70.0%	4	2	50.0%	8	6	75.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Ideal	1	0	0.0%	10	11	110.0%	216	218	100.9%	8	8	100.0%	12	12	100.0%	2	3	150.0%
City of Ivanston	1	1	100.0%	2	2	100.0%	189	107	56.6%	2	2	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Jerey	0	0	0.0%	52	32	61.5%	1,589	1,446	91.0%	28	24	85.7%	151	115	76.2%	12	24	200.0%
City of Jackson	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	444	84	18.9%	1	1	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	5	5	100.0%
City of Jacksonville	98	71	72.4%	0	0	0.0%	2,257	1,379	61.1%	15	9	60.0%	44	36	81.8%	17	15	88.2%
City of Jasper	0	0	0.0%	94	79	84.0%	4,271	4,202	98.4%	25	26	104.0%	89	72	80.9%	104	146	140.4%
City of Jefferson	1	18	1800.0%	0	3	0.0%	102	151	148.0%	1	10	1000.0%	1	12	1200.0%	4	5	125.0%
City of Jeffersonville	0	0	0.0%	1	1	100.0%	192	168	87.5%	0	1	0.0%	1	1	100.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Jonesboro	158	140	88.6%	25	18	72.0%	785	737	93.9%	158	109	69.0%	201	151	75.1%	112	112	100.0%
City of Jones Creek	355	473	133.2%	46	24	52.2%	7,486	3,820	51.0%	193	186	96.4%	79	96	121.5%	203	205	101.0%
City of Kennesaw	245	176	71.8%	89	84	94.4%	7,177	4,138	57.7%	93	97	104.3%	23	19	82.6%	434	473	109.2%
City of Kennesaw	1,409	669	47.5%	114	84	73.7%	12,264	7,942	64.8%	249	268	107.6%	181	145	80.1%	859	940	109.4%
City of Kennesaw	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Kingsland	97	92	94.8%	113	102	90.3%	5,935	5,399	91.1%	138	126	91.3%	109	92	84.4%	213	213	100.0%
City of Kingston	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	47	38	80.9%	1	0	0.0%	10	6	60.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Lake Park	38	38	100.0%	28	29	103.6%	1,869	1,771	94.8%	40	34	85.0%	173	173	100.0%	146	146	100.0%
City of Lake Park	750	779	103.9%	184	198	107.6%	2,781	1,801	64.8%	148	159	107.4%	255	285	111.8%	127	142	111.8%
City of Lake Park	107	86	80.4%	22	18	81.8%	4,286	2,881	67.2%	47	51	108.5%	6	5	83.3%	195	218	111.8%
City of Lake Park	0	0	0.0%	4	3	75.0%	485	458	94.4%	11	7	63.6%	16	10	62.5%	12	12	100.0%
City of Lake Park	31	29	93.5%	6	7	116.7%	569	569	100.0%	17	13	76.5%	58	49	84.5%	0	3	0.0%
City of Lakeland	10	7	70.0%	27	18	66.7%	667	567	85.0%	183	116	63.4%	26	19	73.1%	0	0	0.0%
City of Lawton	614	506	82.4%	134	129	96.3%	5,795	3,150	54.4%	205	209	102.0%	130	140	107.7%	373	387	103.2%
City of Lawrenceville	2,301	1,652	71.8%	797	654	82.1%	57,143	57,682	100.9%	1,791	4,313	240.8%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Lawrenceville	3	2	66.7%	0	0	0.0%	85	12	14.1%	0	0	0.0%	1	1	100.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Leesburg	49	45	91.8%	26	24	92.3%	953	711	74.6%	13	8	61.5%	29	20	69.0%	7	7	100.0%
City of Leesburg	2	0	0.0%	13	2	15.4%	5,433	445	8.2%	71	19	26.8%	13	9	69.2%	5	6	120.0%
City of Leslie	0	0	0.0%	3	2	66.7%	288	274	95.1%	2	2	100.0%	8	3	37.5%	0	0	0.0%
City of Lithonia	206	229	111.2%	110	81	73.6%	8,103	8,566	105.7%	129	116	89.9%	92	38	41.3%	361	71	19.7%
City of Lithonia	46	38	82.6%	0	0	0.0%	260	168	64.6%	7	6	85.7%	1	0	0.0%	9	9	100.0%
City of Lithonia	251	213	84.9%	12	12	100.0%	1,355	714	52.7%	2	4	200.0%	9	8	88.9%	80	82	102.5%
City of Lithonia	169	198	117.2%	32	44	137.5%	1,883	1,999	106.2%	30	82	270.3%	746	386	51.7%	108	108	100.0%
City of Loganville	0	0	0.0%	26	34	130.8%	2,053	2,153	107.3%	69	69	100.0%	191	250	130.9%	108	108	100.0%
City of Lookout Mountain	6	2	33.3%	2	2	100.0%	25	13	52.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Lookout Mountain	27	22	81.5%	2	2	100.0%	914	808	88.4%	17	14	82.4%	15	16	106.7%	14	14	100.0%
City of Louisville	64	49	76.6%	26	20	76.9%	2,781	1,444	52.0%	27	31	114.8%	95	71	74.7%	29	32	110.3%
City of Lovelock	0	0	0.0%	10	9	90.0%	1,148	988	86.1%	77	66	85.7%	34	17	50.0%	3	0	0.0%
City of Lumpkin	0	0	0.0%	16	9	56.3%	125	101	80.8%	11	7	63.6%	18	8	44.4%	0	0	0.0%
City of Lumpkin	25	15	60.0%	0	0	0.0%	220	38	17.3%	2	2	100.0%	5	6	120.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Lumpkin	3	1	33.3%	0	0	0.0%	321	135	42.1%	5	5	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	3	3	100.0%
City of Madison	334	235	70.4%	134	78	58.2%	623	453	72.7%	145	145	100.0%	3,433	1,713	49.9%	63	213	338.1%
City of Madison	5	4	80.0%	28	28	100.0%	10,541	7,527	71.4%	4	4	100.0%	248	263	106.0%	63	63	100.0%
City of Manchester	66	46	69.7%	24	24	100.0%	705	258	36.6%	12	12	100.0%	19	17	89.5%	9	9	100.0%



Georgia Municipal Court Caseload
Calendar Year 2016
Clearance Rates

MUNICIPALITY	Ordinances			Drugs / Marijuana			Traffic			Serious Traffic			Miscellaneous			Felony Bindovers		
	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate
City of Peachtree City	345	226	65.5%	92	109	118.5%	7,229	2,230	31.1%	148	208	140.5%	26	42	161.5%	153	136	88.9%
City of Peachtree Corners	317	33	10.4%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Perimeter	15	14	93.3%	14	7	50.0%	1,355	1,260	93.0%	52	39	75.0%	43	34	79.1%	36	37	102.8%
City of Palmetto	0	0	0.0%	33	22	66.7%	2,603	2,330	89.5%	20	14	70.0%	169	111	65.7%	45	22	48.9%
City of Pembroke	26	25	96.2%	0	21	**	1,430	547	38.3%	25	27	108.0%	36	20	55.6%	0	0	0.0%
City of Pendergrass	29	29	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	387	387	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Perry	142	102	71.8%	145	141	97.2%	3,907	2,556	65.4%	85	83	97.6%	45	52	115.6%	197	172	87.3%
City of Pine Lake	110	122	110.9%	0	1	++	1,252	776	62.0%	2	3	150.0%	3	5	166.7%	79	95	120.3%
City of Pine Mountain	105	61	59.2%	34	33	97.1%	859	294	34.2%	20	23	115.0%	3	3	100.0%	22	30	136.4%
City of Pinhurst	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Pineview	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Plains	3	4	133.3%	0	0	0.0%	156	158	101.3%	1	0	0.0%	2	2	100.0%	1	1	100.0%
City of Pooler	366	339	92.6%	4	1	25.0%	10,815	4,767	44.1%	344	376	109.3%	225	202	89.8%	1,230	1,338	108.8%
City of Port Wentworth	547	464	84.8%	226	196	86.7%	7,889	6,676	84.6%	161	117	72.7%	1,312	1,098	83.7%	230	--	0.0%
City of Porterdale	1	1	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	211	211	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	16	16	100.0%	--	--	--
City of Powder Mill	0	0	0.0%	39	38	97.4%	1,542	1,744	113.1%	17	9	52.9%	41	36	87.8%	3	5	166.7%
City of Poulan	47	24	51.1%	15	13	86.7%	3,648	647	17.7%	4	5	125.0%	1	1	100.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Powder Springs	515	941	182.7%	87	52	59.8%	4,933	1,912	38.8%	121	136	112.4%	23	12	52.2%	399	405	101.5%
City of Preston	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Quitman	21	22	104.8%	0	0	0.0%	984	372	37.8%	47	41	87.2%	66	57	86.4%	22	22	100.0%
City of Ray City	0	0	0.0%	1	1	100.0%	306	323	105.6%	3	2	66.7%	11	11	100.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Reidsville	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Reidsville	4	1	25.0%	48	36	75.0%	488	535	109.6%	32	34	106.3%	65	60	92.3%	6	19	316.7%
City of Remerton	388	303	78.1%	20	19	95.0%	1,701	1,475	86.7%	99	75	75.3%	109	82	75.2%	23	9	39.1%
City of Resaca	135	89	65.9%	7	3	42.9%	557	179	32.1%	10	7	70.0%	7	3	42.9%	8	9	112.5%
City of Reynolds	0	0	0.0%	31	27	87.1%	2,101	2,012	95.8%	9	6	66.7%	76	62	81.6%	44	49	111.4%
City of Rhine	--	--	--	--	--	--	145	120	82.8%	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
City of Richmond	0	0	0.0%	7	4	57.1%	2,652	1,205	45.4%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Richmond Hill	378	55	14.6%	201	148	73.6%	2,858	2,122	74.2%	1,943	872	44.9%	40	23	57.5%	198	0	0.0%
City of Rincon	126	108	85.7%	1	0	0.0%	2,505	1,113	44.4%	34	35	102.9%	97	74	76.3%	478	517	108.2%
City of Ringgold	8	4	50.0%	12	9	75.0%	1,649	777	47.1%	19	19	100.0%	54	43	79.6%	16	19	118.8%
City of Riverdale	1,313	1,165	88.7%	133	135	101.5%	9,256	6,887	74.4%	107	105	98.1%	260	241	92.7%	550	582	105.8%
City of Roberta	0	1	**	4	7	175.0%	552	177	32.1%	20	24	120.0%	37	47	127.0%	16	17	106.3%
City of Rockdale	27	27	100.0%	23	20	87.0%	389	308	79.2%	19	16	84.2%	19	14	73.7%	--	--	--
City of Rockmart	0	0	0.0%	9	15	166.7%	973	909	93.4%	31	36	116.1%	123	88	71.5%	159	191	120.1%
City of Rocky Ford	0	0	0.0%	2	0	0.0%	298	214	71.8%	14	7	50.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Rome	980	553	56.3%	233	192	82.4%	12,100	9,630	79.6%	765	639	83.5%	45	27	60.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Roswell	63	63	100.0%	28	22	78.6%	1,347	802	59.6%	34	27	79.4%	39	31	79.5%	15	19	126.7%
City of Roswell	691	396	57.3%	544	272	50.0%	10,281	6,887	67.0%	597	298	49.9%	208	115	55.3%	0	0	0.0%
City of Rossion	9	6	66.7%	10	10	100.0%	394	201	51.0%	13	10	76.9%	68	60	88.2%	0	1	0.0%
City of Rutledge	1	0	0.0%	2	2	100.0%	15	10	66.7%	2	3	150.0%	3	3	100.0%	1	1	100.0%
City of Saunton	18	14	77.8%	43	42	97.7%	1,386	497	35.9%	40	36	90.0%	134	124	92.5%	33	33	100.0%
City of Sale City	2	2	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	3	3	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Sandersville	78	70	89.7%	0	0	0.0%	1,017	747	73.5%	31	29	93.5%	29	32	110.3%	90	93	103.3%
City of Sandy Springs	3,415	3,003	87.9%	58	52	89.7%	12,397	7,539	60.8%	411	437	106.3%	356	325	91.3%	845	889	105.2%
City of Sardis	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	447	387	86.6%	7	4	57.1%	34	22	64.7%	9	9	100.0%



Georgia Municipal Court Caseload
Calendar Year 2016
Clearance Rates

MUNICIPALITY	Ordinances			Drugs / Marijuana			Traffic			Serious Traffic			Misdemeanors			Felony Bindovers		
	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate
City of Savannah (Chatham Recorder's)**	1,081	897	83.0%	1,667	1,383	83.0%	27,593	22,842	82.8%	1,293	1,073	83.0%	13,897	12,785	92.0%	6,081	6,081	100.0%
City of Secon	19	13	68.4%	12	11	91.7%	255	215	84.3%	31	23	74.2%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Senoia	0	0	0.0%	18	19	105.6%	2,500	2,591	103.6%	25	27	108.0%	33	26	78.8%	44	44	100.0%
City of Shelburn	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Shelton	1	1	100.0%	4	4	100.0%	70	70	100.0%	4	4	100.0%	14	14	100.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Six Valley	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	57	5	8.8%	1	1	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Smithville	3	3	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	8	8	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	4	4	100.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Smyrna	222	196	88.3%	201	145	72.1%	11,696	5,379	46.0%	367	275	74.9%	38	35	92.1%	563	582	103.4%
City of Snellville	1,449	1,059	73.1%	54	64	118.5%	9,786	6,504	66.5%	114	117	102.6%	21	22	104.8%	196	311	158.7%
City of Social Circle	78	69	88.5%	13	13	100.0%	549	205	37.3%	18	12	66.7%	9	11	122.2%	6	6	100.0%
City of Soperton	9	0	0.0%	5	0	0.0%	471	41	8.7%	8	6	75.0%	98	8	8.2%	6	7	116.7%
City of Spauld	2	2	100.0%	14	8	57.1%	2,157	1,939	89.9%	25	19	76.0%	29	26	89.7%	27	27	100.0%
City of Sparta	25	18	72.0%	0	0	0.0%	100	34	34.0%	5	5	100.0%	17	15	88.2%	0	0	0.0%
City of Springfield	4	4	100.0%	11	11	100.0%	1,444	1,444	100.0%	15	15	100.0%	26	26	100.0%	16	16	100.0%
City of Stapleton	12	12	100.0%	7	5	71.4%	1,035	1,004	97.0%	1	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	17	100.0%
City of Statesboro	382	400	104.7%	199	252	126.6%	5,023	4,757	94.7%	159	146	91.8%	430	400	93.0%	8	6	75.0%
City of Statesboro	29	16	55.2%	25	21	84.0%	1,113	571	51.3%	103	125	121.4%	39	23	59.0%	85	128	150.6%
City of Stanham	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Stillmore	128	110	85.9%	31	58	187.1%	1,068	429	40.2%	8	26	325.0%	70	135	192.9%	18	47	261.1%
City of Stockbridge	401	242	60.3%	73	23	31.5%	3,706	2,482	66.2%	14	16	114.3%	27	28	103.7%	146	193	132.2%
City of Stone Mountain	37	39	105.4%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Sugar Hill	126	126	100.0%	21	21	100.0%	1,037	1,037	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Sumnerville	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Suwanee	34	34	100.0%	116	85	73.3%	9,491	9,580	100.9%	403	375	93.1%	526	514	97.7%	0	184	100.0%
City of Swainsboro	299	140	46.8%	47	44	93.6%	1,136	513	45.2%	80	74	92.5%	76	67	88.2%	203	201	99.0%
City of Sylvanore	1	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	45	41	91.1%	0	1	**	1	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Sylvana	287	287	100.0%	39	30	76.9%	1,320	1,320	100.0%	105	105	100.0%	250	250	100.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Sylvester	108	56	51.9%	36	28	77.8%	3,210	2,089	65.1%	47	50	106.4%	12	8	66.7%	43	47	109.5%
City of Tallapoosa	55	55	100.0%	3	3	100.0%	203	203	100.0%	39	39	100.0%	12	12	100.0%	3	3	100.0%
City of Tallapoosa	68	42	61.8%	14	9	64.3%	396	151	38.1%	31	29	93.5%	7	10	142.9%	107	102	95.3%
City of Tallulah Falls	2	2	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	1,009	806	79.9%	0	0	0.0%	90	88	97.8%	0	0	0.0%
City of Temple	0	0	0.0%	6	5	83.3%	1,479	1,408	95.2%	42	41	97.6%	395	340	86.1%	116	131	112.9%
City of Temple	4	7	175.0%	5	7	140.0%	288	126	43.8%	7	5	71.4%	22	12	54.5%	2	2	100.0%
City of Thomaston	130	99	76.2%	46	51	110.9%	1,115	695	62.3%	104	118	113.5%	150	147	98.0%	73	105	143.9%
City of Thomasville	361	308	85.3%	163	146	89.6%	3,865	1,777	46.0%	111	96	86.5%	151	148	98.0%	17	18	105.9%
City of Thomson	6	5	83.3%	48	49	102.1%	1,760	861	48.9%	85	87	102.3%	277	211	76.2%	3	3	100.0%
City of Thonotholt	0	0	0.0%	11	7	63.6%	1,288	1,164	90.4%	41	28	68.3%	104	74	71.2%	354	313	88.4%
City of Tifton	391	305	78.0%	57	56	98.2%	3,168	933	29.5%	93	88	94.6%	265	236	89.1%	159	182	114.5%
City of Tifton	1	1	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	4	4	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	3	3	100.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Toccoa	348	348	100.0%	81	70	86.4%	1,742	1,726	99.1%	119	111	93.3%	89	89	100.0%	32	32	100.0%
City of Toombsboro	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	17	15	88.2%	2	2	100.0%	2	1	50.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Toccoa	29	29	100.0%	42	34	81.0%	1,515	739	48.8%	30	40	133.3%	25	30	120.0%	6	6	100.0%
City of Tifton	51	41	80.4%	2	2	100.0%	72	72	100.0%	0	0	0.0%	7	7	100.0%	0	0	0.0%
City of Tunnel Hill	6	6	100.0%	69	50	72.5%	1,618	1,475	91.2%	153	118	77.1%	296	195	65.9%	46	46	100.0%
City of Twin City	16	16	100.0%	9	3	33.3%	234	234	100.0%	3	3	100.0%	10	10	100.0%	0	0	0.0%



Georgia Municipal Court Caseload
Calendar Year 2016
Clearance Rates

MUNICIPALITY	Ordinances			Drugs / Marijuana			Traffic			Serious Traffic			Misdemeanors			Felony Bindovers			Clearance Rate
	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	Filed	Disposed	Clearance Rate	
City of Ivy	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
City of Tybee Island	944	752	79.7%	213	183	85.9%	3,294	2,090	63.4%	139	159	114.4%	425	431	101.4%	0	0	0%	
City of Tyzone	27	19	70.4%	60	56	93.3%	1,667	667	40.0%	54	56	103.7%	10	4	40.0%	83	111	133.7%	
City of Unadilla	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	83	83	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
City of Union City	649	500	77.0%	92	88	95.7%	6,333	5,565	87.9%	96	99	103.1%	660	500	75.8%	773	815	105.3%	
City of Union Point	17	16	94.1%	3	3	100.0%	89	74	83.1%	6	6	100.0%	1	3	300.0%	0	0	0%	
City of Valdosta	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	7	1	14.3%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
City of Valdosta	285	0	0%	0	0	0%	9,482	0	0%	222	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
City of Varnell	12	9	75.0%	22	17	77.3%	1,527	679	44.5%	40	48	120.0%	64	54	84.4%	35	40	114.3%	
City of Vidalia	62	48	77.4%	25	13	52.0%	1,308	946	72.3%	36	36	100.0%	333	280	84.1%	0	0	0%	
City of Vidalia	89	79	88.8%	0	0	0%	783	310	39.6%	2	3	150.0%	42	39	92.9%	1	0	0%	
City of Villa Rica	178	152	85.4%	64	49	76.6%	3,752	1,075	28.7%	65	80	123.1%	564	261	46.3%	113	127	112.4%	
City of Vidley	5	3	60.0%	3	2	66.7%	454	159	35.0%	10	7	70.0%	17	18	105.9%	0	0	0%	
City of Walnut Grove	3	10	333.3%	2	17	850.0%	283	233	82.3%	8	6	75.0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
City of Walnut Grove	5	4	80.0%	0	0	0%	1,778	802	45.1%	14	11	78.6%	29	21	72.4%	24	23	95.8%	
City of Walnut Hills	6	5	83.3%	4	3	75.0%	292	79	27.1%	2	2	100.0%	2	2	100.0%	2	2	100.0%	
City of Warm Springs	626	449	71.7%	130	87	66.9%	3,544	1,877	53.0%	210	224	106.7%	244	209	85.7%	128	149	116.4%	
City of Warner Robins	83	61	73.5%	12	10	83.3%	706	145	20.5%	16	12	75.0%	7	6	85.7%	2	2	100.0%	
City of Warner Robins	10	12	120.0%	44	36	81.8%	3,535	1,348	38.1%	11	8	72.7%	12	9	75.0%	12	9	75.0%	
City of Washington	53	54	101.9%	0	0	0%	184	244	132.6%	5	18	360.0%	6	10	166.7%	0	0	0%	
City of Washington	1	2	200.0%	0	0	0%	624	228	36.5%	6	6	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	20	21	105.0%	
City of Waycross	2,611	2,611	100.0%	102	102	100.0%	2,256	2,256	100.0%	26	26	100.0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
City of Waycross	100	84	84.0%	6	6	100.0%	443	283	63.9%	20	21	105.0%	61	53	86.9%	49	49	100.0%	
City of West Point	85	37	43.5%	8	10	125.0%	1,484	1,404	94.6%	17	16	94.1%	23	23	100.0%	34	38	111.8%	
City of White Plains	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	209	201	96.2%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
City of White Plains	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	32	32	100.0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
City of Whitesburg	2	2	100.0%	0	0	0%	358	148	41.3%	6	5	83.3%	14	9	64.3%	10	7	70.0%	
City of Whitesboro	0	0	0%	50	31	62.0%	215	177	82.3%	10	8	80.0%	26	19	73.1%	0	0	0%	
City of Windsor	64	15	23.4%	212	69	32.5%	4,749	3,849	81.0%	595	281	47.2%	0	0	0%	113	0	0%	
City of Windsor	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	142	143	100.7%	1	1	100.0%	0	0	0%	5	5	100.0%	
City of Woodbine	5	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
City of Woodbury	33	11	33.3%	4	3	75.0%	643	92	14.3%	7	7	100.0%	11	4	36.4%	0	0	0%	
City of Woodland	0	0	0%	2	0	0%	105	97	92.4%	0	0	0%	2	1	50.0%	0	0	0%	
City of Woodstock	213	193	90.6%	177	116	65.5%	6,784	3,701	54.6%	562	568	101.1%	177	131	74.0%	639	713	111.6%	
City of Woodville	3	3	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	62	62	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	0	0	0%	
City of Wrens	92	57	62.0%	19	16	84.2%	972	377	38.8%	22	19	86.4%	25	21	84.0%	0	0	0%	
City of Wrightsville	0	0	0%	2	1	50.0%	473	371	78.4%	21	7	33.3%	96	74	77.1%	7	6	85.7%	
City of Zebulon	5	0	0%	42	35	83.3%	1,198	523	43.7%	33	30	90.9%	55	46	83.6%	15	11	73.3%	
STATEWIDE TOTALS	122,987	68,815	56.0%	23,297	13,503	58.0%	1,218,183	847,571	69.6%	46,610	34,874	74.8%	99,433	67,595	68.0%	77,953	57,618	73.9%	

Categories with no data reported are indicated by "—"
 Fields with "N/A" indicate a nondeterminable clearance rate due to no new filings or unreported filings for this reporting period
 Courts that are inactive have been greyed
 Records / Civil Courts are also reported with Municipal Court Data

Renewed
10-2-18

Ordinance # 89

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF LAKE PARK, GEORGIA ADOPTING A CODE OF ETHICS FOR CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS, TO PROVIDE AN EFFECTIVE DATE AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.

ARTICLE I

General Provisions

Section 1-1 Declaration of Policy

It is essential to the proper administration and operation of The City of Lake Park that the members of its Governing Authority are and give the appearance of being, independent and impartial; that the public office not be used for private gain and that there be public confidence in the integrity of the Governing Authority. It is the policy of the City of Lake Park's officials, employees, appointees, and volunteers conducting official city business:

- Serve others and not themselves;
- Be independent, impartial and responsible;
- Use resources with efficiency and economy;
- Treat all people fairly;
- Use the power of their position for the wellbeing of their constituents; and create an environment of honesty, openness and integrity.

Section 1-2 DEFINITIONS

Solely for the purpose of this code of ethics:

- (a) *City Council Member* shall be any person who is an elected city official, including the mayor, of the City of Lake Park.
- (b) *Government* or *city* shall be construed to mean the City of Lake Park, Georgia government.
- (c) *Interest* means any direct pecuniary benefit, which is not a remote interest, held by or accruing to a member of the Governing Authority as a result of a contract or transaction that is or may be the subject of an official act or action by or with the city. A member of the Governing Authority shall be deemed to have an interest in transactions involving:
 - (1) Any person in the members immediate family (spouse, mother, father, grandparent, brother, sister, son or daughter related by blood, adoption or marriage, marriage shall include in-laws.
 - (2) Any person with whom a contractual relationship exists whereby the member may receive any payment or other benefits unless the member is receiving a benefit for goods and services in the normal course of business for which the member has paid a commercially reasonable rate;

(3) Any business in which the member is a director, officer, employee, agent, or shareholder, except as otherwise provided herein; or

(4) Any person of whom the member is a creditor, whether secured or unsecured.

(d) *Governing Authority* or *Member of the Governing Authority* means the Mayor or any Council member of the City of Lake Park and any member appointed to any Board of Commissions of the City (hereinafter sometimes referred to as "Member" or "Members").

Section 1-3 Intent

It is the intent of this section that Members of the Governing Authority avoid any action whether or not specifically prohibited which might result in, or create the appearance of the following;

- (1) Using public office for private gain;
- (2) Impeding government efficiency or economy; or
- (3) Affecting adversely the confidence of the public in the integrity of the government.

Section 1-4 Financial interests of Members

Members of the Governing Authority may not:

- (1) Have direct or indirect financial interests that conflict substantially with their responsibilities and duties as government servants; or
- (2) Engage in, directly or indirectly, financial transactions as a result of, or primarily relying upon, information obtained from their office.

Aside from these restrictions, Members are free to engage in lawful financial transactions to the same extent as private citizens.

Section 1-5 Use of public property

A Member of the Governing Authority shall not use government property of any kind of other than officially approved activities, nor shall he or she direct employees to use such property for these purposes.

Section 1-6 Use of confidential information

A Member shall not directly or indirectly, make use of, or permit others to make use of, for the purpose of furthering a private interest, official information not made available to the general public.

Section 1-7 Disclosure of information by Members of the Governing Authority

Members shall not knowingly or willfully make or provide false statements or information on which the Governing Authority, City staff or other agencies would reasonably be expected to rely to establish policy or make important decisions. A Member violates this rule if he/she knows the statement or information is untrue, or the person has knowledge that would lead a reasonable person of ordinary prudence to conclude the statement is untrue.

Section 1-8 Coercion by Members

A Member of the Governing Authority shall not use his position in any way to coerce, or give the appearance of coercing, another person to provide any financial benefit to him or persons within his immediate family, or those with whom a Member has business or financial ties.

Section 1-9 Voting in matters of personal interest

A Member shall disqualify his/herself from participating in any official act or vote, and any debate thereon, of the Governing Authority which results or could result in a pecuniary benefit to the Member or to a business or activity in which he has an interest, when such benefit is not available to the public at large.

Section 1-10 Unauthorized purchases

A Member shall not order any goods and services for the City of Lake Park without prior official authorization for such expenditure.

Section 1-11 Meeting of the council

Meetings of the council shall be conducted in accordance with the Official Code of Georgia Annotated, Title 50, Chapter 14, as amended, and Title 36, Chapter 80, section 1.

Section 1-12 City attorneys used for private business

A Member of the Governing Authority shall not use the attorney or attorneys who are under retainer by the City of Lake Park for personal or private business without paying just compensation.

Section 1-13 Unauthorized use of public employees

A Member shall not use his superior position to request or require an employee to:

- (1) do clerical work on behalf of his family, business, social, church or fraternal interests;
- (2) purchase goods and services to be used for personal, business, or political purposes;

and

- (3) work for him/her personally without offering just compensation.

Section 1-14 Travel expenses

A Member shall not draw per diem or expense monies from the City of Lake Park to attend a seminar, convention, or conference and then fail to attend the seminar, convention, or conference without refunding the pro-rata unused per diem or expense monies to the city.

ARTICLE II

Administration

Section 2-1 Ethics Committee

An Ethics Committee shall be established in the following manner: The Ethics Committee shall consist of three (3) residents of the city, one appointed by the mayor, one appointed by the city council, and the third appointed by the mayor and council. Initial Committee appointments shall be made so that terms are staggered. Two of the initial appointees shall serve an initial term of two (2) years and the third appointee shall serve an initial term of three (3) years, to be determined after appointment by lottery. Subsequent appointments shall be for a term of two years beginning on the day after the expiration of the preceding full term. No member of the Committee shall serve without compensation. Each member of the Committee shall have been a resident of the City for at least one (1) year immediately preceding the date of taking office and shall remain a resident of the City while serving as a committee member. No person shall serve as an Ethics Committee member if the person has or has had within the preceding two (2) year period, any interest in any contract, transaction, or official action of the City.

Section 2-2 Receipt of complaints

(a) "Complaint" means a written sworn statement filed with the ethics committee containing specific allegations of misconduct by a member; provided, however, in order for the ethics committee to be able to act on a complaint, the complaint must be filed within six months of the date the complaining party knew or should reasonably have known of the alleged misconduct.

(b) Upon receipt of a written complaint, the ethics committee shall review it to determine whether the complaint is unjustified, frivolous, patently unfounded or fails to state facts sufficient to invoke the disciplinary jurisdiction of the Lake Park City Council. The city attorney shall assist the ethics committee in its review and investigation of the complaint as requested by the committee. The ethics committee shall be empowered to collect evidence and information concerning any complaint and to add the findings and results of its investigations to the file containing such complaint.

(c) Upon completion of its investigation of a complaint, the ethics committee shall be empowered to dismiss those complaints which are unjustified, frivolous, patently unfounded or which fail to state facts sufficient to invoke the disciplinary jurisdiction of the Lake Park City Council; provided, however, that a rejection of such complaint by the ethics committee shall not deprive the complaining party of any action he might otherwise have at law or in equity against the respondent government servant.

(d) The ethics committee shall be empowered to conduct probable cause investigations, to subpoena witnesses, to take evidence and hold hearings where provided for in the rules.

(e) The ethics committee shall be empowered to adopt forms for formal complaints, subpoenas, notices, applications for reinstatement and any other written instruments necessary or desirable under these rules.

Section 2-3 Service of complaint; hearings and disposition of complaints.

The ethics committee as appointed herein set forth shall cause the complaint to be served on the member of the governing authority charged as soon as practicable after receipt. Service may be by

personal service or by certified mail, return receipt requested. Unless the complaint is dismissed, a hearing shall be held within 60 days after filing of the complaint or as soon thereafter as reasonably possible. The ethics committee shall conduct the hearing in accordance with the procedures and regulations it establishes but, in all circumstances, the hearing shall include the taking of testimony, the right to representation by counsel, and the right to call witnesses on behalf of the accused and to cross-examination of adverse witnesses. The decision of the ethics committee shall be rendered to mayor and council within five days after completion of the hearing.

Section 2-4 Penalty and member rights.

(a) Any member of the governing authority who knowingly violates any provision of the code of ethics provided in this division shall be subject to public reprimand or censure by the governing authority of the city. Imposition of penalties under this division shall not preclude prosecution and/or imposition of such other penalties as may be authorized under the City Charter, state or federal law.

(b) At any hearing held by the ethics committee, the member of the governing authority who is the subject of inquiry shall have the right to written notice of the allegations at least ten business days before a hearing, to be represented by counsel, to hear and examine the evidence and witnesses and to present evidence and witnesses in opposition or in extenuation.

Section 2-5 Appeals

(a) Any member of the governing authority adversely affected by a final action by the city council based on the findings of the ethics committee may obtain judicial review of such decision as provided in this section.

(b) An action for judicial review may be commenced by filing an application for a writ of certiorari in the Superior Court of Lowndes County within 30 days after any final action by the city council based on the decision of the ethics committee. The filing of such application shall act as supersedes. The review by the superior court shall be limited to an inquiry of whether there was any evidence before the city council which supported the decision of the council, provided, however, that no action of the city council refusing or failing to take action pursuant to this code of ethics shall be reviewable by the superior court.

Section 2-6 Additional regulations.

This division shall be cumulative to any other division, resolution or act now existing.

ARTICLE III

Acceptance of Gifts and Conflict of Interest

Section 3-1 Acceptance of gifts, gratuities, special privileges.

Members of the governing authority shall not solicit or accept directly or indirectly anything of value from any person, corporation, or group which:

- (1) Has, or is seeking to obtain contractual or other business or financial relationships with the City of Lake Park;
- (2) In exchange for the thing of value seeks to have a member exercise a matter of discretion in his or her favor;
- (3) In exchange for the thing of value seeks to have interests, which may be affected by the performance or nonperformance of the official duty of the member.

This section shall not apply to campaign contributions, which shall be reported in accordance with Georgia laws.

Section 3-2 Proprietorship of creations.

All plans, designs, reports, specifications, drawings, devices, inventions, and other items produced or created by members of the governing authority during their time in office or through the use of city facilities or equipment or at the request of the city shall be and become the sole property of the City of Lake Park.

Section 3-3 Confidential information.

Members of the governing authority shall not disclose confidential information gained by reason of their official position, nor shall they otherwise use such information for their personal gain or benefit.

Section 3-4 Conflict of interest.

No elected official, appointed officer, or employee of the city or any agency or political entity to which this charter applies shall knowingly engage in any business or transaction or have a financial or other personal interest, direct or indirect, which is incompatible with the proper discharge of his official duties or which would tend to impair his independence of judgment or action in the performance of his official duties.

Section 3-5 Political activity.

Members of the governing authority shall not utilize any city equipment or vehicles in support of any political campaign.

ARTICLE IV

Severability

Section 4-1 Liberal Construction of Ordinance.

The provisions of this Ordinance are to be construed liberally, to the end that the public interest be fully protected and shall be construed in a manner consistent with all applicable federal and state laws and applicable provisions of the City Charter. In the event of a conflict between any provision of this Ordinance and any applicable federal, state, or City charter provision which does not expressly provide otherwise, the federal, state, or City charter provision shall control.

Section 4-2 Severability.

If any provision of this Ordinance is held by any court or by any federal or state agency of competent jurisdiction to be invalid as conflicting with any federal, state, or City charter provision now or hereafter in effect, or is held by such court or agency to be modified in any way in order to conform to the requirements of separate, distinct and independent part of this Ordinance, and such holding shall not affect the validity and enforceability of this Ordinance as a whole, or an part other than the part declared to be invalid.

Section 4-3 Repeal.

To the extent permitted by law, all ordinances, resolutions or rules, and parts of ordinances, resolutions or rules inconsistent with this Ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 4-4 Effective Date.

This Ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after the date of its adoption, the public welfare demanding it.

The above Ordinance was read and approved by the Mayor and Council of the City of Lake Park, Georgia on the 2nd day of October, 2018.

All Ordinances and parts of Ordinances in conflict with this Ordinance are hereby repealed.



ATTEST:

Tabatha Fowler
Tabatha L. Fowler, City Clerk

Eric I. Schindler
Eric I. Schindler, Mayor

SEAL

Renewed
10-2-18

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS the Board of Directors of the Georgia Municipal Association has established a Certified City of Ethics program; and,

WHEREAS the City of Lake Park, wishes to be certified as a Certified City of Ethics under the GMA Program; and,

WHEREAS part of the certification process requires the Mayor and Council to subscribe to the ethics principles approved by the GMA Board;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the governing authority of the City of Lake Park, Georgia, that as a group and as individuals, the governing authority subscribes to the following ethics principles and pledges to conduct its affairs accordingly:

- * Serve Others, Not Ourselves
- * Use Resources With Efficiency and Economy
- * Treat All People Fairly
- * Use The Power of Our Position For The Well Being Of Our Constituents
- * Create An Environment Of Honesty, Openness And Integrity


RESOLVED this 2nd day of October, 2018.



Mayor Eric Schindler



Councilmember Tom Barr



Councilmember Carl Taylor



Mayor Pro Tem Ronald Carter



Councilmember Debbie Sauls



Note: A copy of the approved resolution and ordinance by cities who desire to participate in the GMA "Certified City of Ethics" program should be submitted to GMA, Attention: Legal Department, 201 Pryor Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303



Judicial Council of Georgia Administrative Office of the Courts

Chief Justice P. Harris Hines
Chair

Cynthia H. Clanton
Director

Clearance Rate Excellence Award Recipient

Congratulations! The Judicial Council Administrative Office of the Courts is honored to present your court with the “**Clearance Rate Excellence**” award for your demonstrated commitment to judicial excellence. The Clearance Rate Excellence Award was created this year to recognize the efforts of Georgia courts as they actively work to manage their incoming caseload.

Performance measures such as clearance rates monitor the relationship between incoming and outgoing cases. Ideally, clearance rates of 100 percent or more indicate a court is keeping up with its incoming caseload, whereas, clearance rates below 100 percent mean a court has a backlog of cases. A three-year clearance rate methodology was used to determine your court clearance rate.

Over the past three years, your court has exhibited an effective case flow management system that has resulted in achieving the clearance rate goal. Your exceptional performance and best courtroom practices are essential elements to the success of our judicial system.


As your court continues to strive for judicial excellence, we thank you for your contributions and are incredibly honored to share this prestigious award with your court.

THE STANDING COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL WORKLOAD ASSESSMENT RECOGNIZES

Municipal Court of Lake Park
FOR
CLEARANCE RATE EXCELLENCE
2017



Cynthia H. Clanton, Director
Administrative Office of the
Courts



JUDICIAL
EXCELLENCE AWARDS

2018



Chief Judge David Emerson,
Chair Standing Committee on
Judicial Workload Assessment



120 North Essa Street, Lake Park, Georgia 31636
City Hall 229-559-7470
Fax 229-559-7499

City of Lake Park Regular Council Meeting
Tuesday, October 2, 2018
7:30 PM

INVITATION

The City of Lake Park Council Members would like to take this opportunity to thank you for you volunteering to serve on the Board of our newly developed Parks & Recreation committee.

We would like to invite you to attend our Council Meeting as stated above to “greet and meet” your Mayor Eric Schindler, City Clerk and Council Member(s) along with the other four (4) members serving on your committee.

Please feel free to contact us for any further information, we hope to see you at our scheduled Council Meeting.

Thank you,

Tabatha Fowler

Tabatha Fowler
City Clerk



Lake Park

Georgia's Southern Gateway City

Cinnamon Roll Apple Pie

Submitted by Ciera Seasholtz

INGREDIENTS

- CRUST:**
 2 cups flour
 1 tsp. salt
 2/3 cup of cold butter
 5-7 tbsp. of water
 1 tbsp. unsalted butter, melted
 2 tsp. ground cinnamon
 2 tsp. sugar

PIE:

- 7 - 8 cups apples, peeled, cored, and thinly sliced (I use *Granny Smith* and *Honeycrisp*)
 2 tablespoons flour
 1/4 cup sugar
 1 tsp. cinnamon

CRUMB TOPPING:

- 1 stick unsalted butter, room temperature
 1 cup all-purpose flour
 1 cup light brown sugar
 1 tbsp. granulated (or coarse) sugar

ICING:

- 1/2 cup powdered sugar
 1/4 tsp. good vanilla
 1/4 tsp. cinnamon
 2-3 tps. milk



FUN FACTS

- Known as Georgia-Florida Gateway city, 5 miles from the Florida line.
- More than 20 lakes allow easy fishing, boating, sailing.
- Year-round temperate climate.
- Grassy Pond Park & Recreation operated by Moody AFB is over 500 acres. Open to the public!
- Spanish moss seen in the trees is an air plant, deriving most of its nutrients from air and rain.
- Antique & outlet stores.
- Small town atmosphere is so quiet it feels like being on vacation.
- A really first-class 4th of July celebration is held annually.

OUR FAVORITE THINGS

City of Lake Park
Independence Day Celebration
 June 30th at Tom's Pond
 Festivities begin at 2pm. Fireworks at dusk.
 229-559-7470 • cityoflakeparkga.com

For more information on the city of Lake Park, visit cityoflakeparkga.com.



FUN FACT

This recipe made Ciera the first place winner in the Apple Pie Baking Competition at the Fourth of July celebration in Lake Park.

DIRECTIONS

Begin by preheating the oven to 400 degrees F. Using a pastry cutter, blend crust ingredients together starting with 5 Tbs of water to 7 Tbs until ball forms, then roll pastry out. Unroll your crust on a lightly floured surface. Then, brush the crust with melted butter and evenly sprinkle with cinnamon and sugar. Roll the crust up tightly and slice into 1/2-inch rounds.

Press these rounds, cut side down, into a clear glass 9-inch pie plate. Press until flat, covering the entire pan. Make sure there are no spaces between the rolls.

In a large bowl, toss the apple slices with the flour, sugar, and cinnamon until evenly coated. Then, pour the apples into the crust. It will mound up and almost seem to be overflowing. This is good.

Then, in a medium bowl, combine the butter, flour, and brown sugar with your fingers or a pastry blender until crumbly. Sprinkle the crumble over the heaped apples. Finally, sprinkle the whole pie with the sugar.

Bake the pie until the crust is golden brown and the filling is bubbly (about 50 - 60 minutes). (My oven tends to brown things easily, so I very lightly cover the top of my pie with tin foil for most of the baking process.) Once baked, remove from the oven and allow to cool completely on a cooling rack.

When the pie is cool, prepare the icing. In a small bowl, whisk together the powdered sugar, vanilla, cinnamon, and milk. If your mixture seems too thick, add a bit more milk. If it's too thin, add more powdered sugar. Transfer the icing to a pastry bag or a plastic bag with the corner cut off. Then, pipe the icing in a swirl (to resemble a cinnamon roll) on top of the crumble.



2019 Lake Park Fire Department Revised Budget

<u>Compensation Fire Chief</u>	<u>4800.00</u>
<u>Workers Comp.</u>	<u>575.00</u>
<u>Repairs Vehicle</u>	<u>5500.00</u>
<u>Ladder & Pump Testing</u>	<u>2500.00</u>
<u>Building Repairs</u>	<u>2000.00</u>
<u>Property/Liability Insurance</u>	<u>5000.00</u>
<u>Georgia Impact Fee</u>	<u>200.00</u>
<u>Communications</u>	<u>10500.00</u>
<u>Education/Training</u>	<u>2000.00</u>
<u>Training Pay</u>	<u>19000.00</u>
<u>General Supplies</u>	<u>3000.00</u>
<u>Volunteer Dinners</u>	<u>00.00</u>
<u>Purchased Svcs/Elec.</u>	<u>4000.00</u>
<u>Purchased Supl/Gas</u>	<u>800.00</u>
<u>Diesel/Fire Dept.</u>	<u>3000.00</u>
<u>Small Equip/Fire Dept.</u>	<u>8000.00</u>
<u>Total</u>	<u>70875.00</u>

Cuts came from the following

Took 1500.00 from building repair new total 2000.00

Took 2000.00 from Vehicle repair new total 5500.00

Took 2000.00 from fire comm. New total 10500.00

Took 1000.00 from Training Pay new total 19000.00

Total cut **6500.00**

Fire Report for September City Council Meeting

Fire Calls for September (so far)

1 vehicle fire (hot brakes) at rest area (county)

1 Vehicle Accident (City)

1 medical call (City)

Community involvement:

Still have smoke detectors available to those that cannot afford them

In the coming weeks will be testing fire hydrants

Station needs: Radios have been ordered

Truck Repair needs:

None at this time

Grants applied for:

Waiting on notification that we will be awarded grant from Norfolk Southern they approached us about (1500.00)
